APPEAL NO. 020124 FILED FEBRUARY 21, 2002

Following a contested case hearing held on January 3, 2002, pursuant to the Texas
Vorkers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989 Act), the
earing officer resolved the disputed issues by determining that the correct date of the
laimed injury is; that the respondent (carrier) is relieved from liability under
Section 409.002 because of the appellant's (claimant) failure to timely notify his employer
oursuant to Section 409.001; that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury in the
orm of a repetitive trauma injury; and that because the claimant did not sustain a
compensable injury, he did not have disability. The claimant has appealed the date of
njury and timeliness of the notice determinations on sufficiency of the evidence grounds.
The carrier has filed a response urging the sufficiency of the evidence to support the
hallenged determinations.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The claimant testified that after working for the employer as a painter and sandblaster for several years he experienced pain and numbness in his hands and that he saw Dr. H about these symptoms on August 5, 1997, and again on _____. Dr. H's records reflect that the claimant was diagnosed with bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS), although the claimant denied having been provided with that diagnosis by Dr. H. The claimant said that after seeing Dr. H on _____, he was referred to Dr. A, a hand specialist, whom he saw on July 17, 2000. Dr. A's records reflect that the claimant returned to Dr. A on March 16, 2001, and that on April 3, 2001, Dr. A performed carpal tunnel release surgery on his left hand. The claimant further stated that when he asked his boss about "disability" payments, he was told he had not had an accident on the job so he then asked Dr. A, on April 9, 2001, if his CTS was caused by his work and she said that it was. He conceded that his hands did not hurt before commencing work as a painter and sandblaster; that his hands would not hurt when he reported to work but became increasingly symptomatic as his workday progressed; and that he engaged in no other activities that caused pain and numbness in his hands. At one point, he testified that since he was working he supposed that his symptoms could be related to his work but that he was "not sure about it" until after being so advised by Dr. A.

The date of an occupational disease injury is the date the claimant knew or should have known that the claimed injury may be work related. Section 408.007. The date of injury is, generally, a question of fact for the hearing officer to decide. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 94534, decided June 13, 1994. The claimant does not dispute the finding that he provided notice of a work-related injury to the employer on May 1, 2001. He contends, however, that his notice on that date was timely because his date of injury is ______, the date that Dr. A told him she felt his injury was work

related. The Appeals Panel has held that a claim confirmation of a condition before being found to injury was work related. See, e.g., Texas Workers' 92559, decided December 3, 1992. The heater that the lamant returned to December to a hand specialist, that he knew or should problems were related to his work. We are concerning the date of injury and the providing of the weight and preponderance of the evidence as to Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).	"know or should have known" that the Compensation Commission Appeal No. aring officer could consider that, on Dr. H for his hand symptoms and was d have known on that date that his hand satisfied that the challenged findings mely notice are not so against the great	
The decision and order of the hearing office	r are affirmed.	
The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is GREAT AMERICAN ALLIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is		
CT CORPORATION 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.		
	Philip F. O'Neill Appeals Judge	
CONCUR:		
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge		
Edward Vilano Appeals Judge		